

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 193 637

CS 205 888

AUTHOR Gambell, Trevor J.
 TITLE Talk Contexts and Speech Styles: Planning for Oral
 Language.
 PUB DATE Aug 80
 NOTE 12p.: Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the
 Canadian Council of Teachers of English (13th,
 Halifax, Canada, August 18-22, 1980).

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS *Classroom Communication: *Classroom Environment:
 Elementary Education: Language Arts: *Language
 Styles: *Oral Language: *Speech Communication:
 *Student Teacher Relationship: Teacher Behavior

ABSTRACT

Through oral languaging children learn to share ideas, to shape ideas, to structure thought, and to develop thinking skills. In order to plan appropriate and effective oral communication environments, teachers need to be aware of the factors that shape and influence communication environments or situations. There are three scales or continua of determinancy that can be used to describe how a speech style matches a talk context. Teachers will want to create different talk contexts, and therefore encourage the use and development of different speech styles by manipulating the factors of group size, relationships among group members, and physical arrangements of groups. Such arrangements could lead toward the use of intimate, casual, consultative, and formal speech styles. Learning can take place in all four contexts and, by attention to factors such as group size, speaker-listener relationships and distancing, the type and nature of children's previous experiences, and the type of subject matter, teachers can create for their students real contexts for appropriate communications. (HOD)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

TALK CONTEXTS AND SPEECH STYLES:

PLANNING FOR ORAL LANGUAGE

Trevor J. Gambell

Assistant Professor
Department of Curriculum Studies
University of Saskatchewan
Saskatoon, Canada
S7N 0W0

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Trevor J. Gambell

THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

ED193637

C5225888

TALK CONTEXTS AND SPEECH STYLES:

PLANNING FOR ORAL LANGUAGE

Children in today's classrooms are probably being given more opportunities than ever to talk and interact orally, and to learn through oral communication. Through oral languaging children learn to share ideas, to shape ideas, to structure thought, and to develop thinking skills. Various styles of teaching such as the discovery and inquiry approaches rely very much on oral communication and discussion among the teacher and pupils, and among the children themselves.

In order to plan appropriate and effective oral communication environments teachers need to be aware of the factors that shape and influence communication environments or situations. In this article I will discuss those factors, and show how the structuring of talk contexts also affects the speech styles that children can be expected to adopt, use, or develop. I am going to tie in this discussion with a recent article on designing talk environments for children, with the purpose of expanding and elaborating an essential part of the construct present in that article, namely that of talk contexts.

Marvin Klein, in the September, 1979 issue of Language Arts (pp. 647-656), presented what I think is the most extensive framework yet developed for designing oral language tasks and situations for children in classrooms. His framework consists of three components which he calls talk purposes, talk contexts, and talk planning. The talk purposes are more prescriptive than they are descriptive of the

functions of children's language. They are prescriptive because their purpose is to develop competence in various social requirements and functions of talk.

The second component of Klein's framework is talk contexts, and it is this component that forms the basis for my discussion. Talk contexts are in fact communication situations or settings where language use occurs. An important aspect of talk contexts is that they match speech styles. Children and adults will use or develop a particular style of speech, also known as register (Halliday, 1964; Ure, 1969; Halliday, McIntosh & Strevens, 1972), according to the context in which they interact. I'll begin by taking a look at the factors which influence speech styles, and which characterize talk contexts.

Three Determining Factors

There are three scales or continua of determinancy which can be used to describe how a speech style matches a talk context. Each scale shows how the factor determines the speech style that language users can be expected to adopt or use in the particular situation. The scales show factors ranging along a continuum because factors should not be considered as category systems or as discrete entities. The first scale is that of group or audience size.

GROUP OR AUDIENCE SIZE

dyadic

small

large

All speech styles and talk contexts are responsive to and influenced by group size. The larger the group or audience the more formal the style; the smaller the group, down to a dyad (two persons), the less formal the style. In the classroom the largest group is usually the whole class, though beyond the classroom it could be several classes or the assembled school. The numbers of children in groups for oral language activities will influence to a large extent the style of speech that children will use.

Another determining factor is that of the speaker-listener relationship. Since this determining factor varies along a continuum also it is best shown in terms of a scale.

SPEAKER-LISTENER RELATIONSHIP

intimate	friendly	formal
----------	----------	--------

The relationship between children in a group will influence their use of language. The relationship is interdependent to a large extent with group size. The speaker-listener role constantly changes back and forth unless there is a dominant speaker in a talk context. Dominance in any talk context tends to lead to a more formal talk context and speech style. The degree to which interaction occurs depends on the nature of the speaker-listener relationship. An intimate relationship is likely to exist in a dyad where both children know each other very well. The same pair will interact differently between themselves as part of a larger group. Their relationship as

group size grows will become more formal, and this will be reflected in their using a more formal style of speech.

A third determining factor is that of speaker-listener distantiation. The scale might look like this:

SPEAKER-LISTENER DISTANTIATION

tete-a-tete

distant

This factor is useful in planning how children can be grouped to form talk contexts, and in particular the physical arrangements for grouping. The scale suggests that as the speaker-listener (audience) distance increases so the situation becomes more formal. When a teacher wants to create a casual and friendly or intimate context she asks a small number of children to sit around her on a carpeted floor, as closely as possible. She minimizes the speaker-listener distance. When children are asked to orally report to the class, as when giving a book report, they often will stand in front of the class who are seated at their desks. The speaker-listener distance is far, and a formal context is created.

Four Speech Styles

Through manipulation of the three factors just discussed talk contexts are created in which various styles of speech can be anticipated. It is important that children develop a repertoire of speech styles which allow them to interact appropriately in a variety of social contexts.

Teachers will want to create different talk contexts, and therefore encourage the use and development of different speech styles, by manipulating the factors of group or audience size, relationships among group members, and physical arrangements of groups.

Klein in his article discusses five categories of talk contexts. They are the intrapersonal communication context, dyadic (two-person) communication context, small group communication context, public communication context, and mediated communication context. I am going to use different descriptions, and reduce the categories to four. My rationale for doing this is that one communication context (intrapersonal) exists as talk for self, one (mediated) involves indirect communication through media, but also to more closely align talk contexts with styles of speech. In this discussion, then, I am borrowing four of the five styles developed by Joos (1967). These are the intimate, casual, consultative, and formal.

SPEECH STYLES

INTIMATE	CASUAL	CONSULTATIVE	FORMAL
----------	--------	--------------	--------

The four styles should not be interpreted as being discrete, as representing a category system, and so they are shown ranging along a scale as are the three determining factors of talk contexts. The least formal style is to the left of the scale, the most formal to the right. Sometimes more than one speech style will occur in a particular talk context. For example, two children role-playing an interview with a

pioneer in a social studies presentation might use both casual (the pioneer) and consultative (the interviewer) styles. For establishing classroom language situations or talk contexts the four styles are useful.

The first speech style and talk context is the intimate. The intimate style reflects the use of language that operates almost at the thought level of both speaker and listener. It is characterized by an economy of words and a high incidence of nonverbal communication such as gestures and facial expressions. It is also characteristic of speakers who know each other very well. There is a lot of implicit background knowledge, and the participants mutually recall much of this shared knowledge and experience. When we pair children for discussion who know each other very well, and particularly when we allow them to talk about shared personal experiences, we can expect them to adopt an intimate style of speech. The intimate context corresponds to Klein's dyadic (two-person) communication context.

A casual talk context and speech style is likely to occur when three to five children are grouped to orally discuss a shared experience such as a game or sport, social event, or field trip that the class participated in. The casual style assumes a shared background, but individual interpretation and response will help to broaden the meaning potential for group members. There is free and easy interchange between group members, and unconventional usage such as slang and colloquialism might mark this style. Syntactically, sentences are not necessarily completed. This talk context corresponds to the small group communication context.

The consultative situation is probably the one which by nature is prevalent in most classrooms. It is also the one in which most adults probably engage in their daily work and business affairs. In the classroom the consultative context is created when six to nine children are grouped to discuss some specific or prescribed content, that is, subject matter that does not come necessarily from the shared or personal experience of the group or its members. A consultative context also prevails in most teacher-directed questioning sequences, and in teacher-initiated class discussion. The consultative style is indicative of persons who have a limited shared background. It features free and easy participation of group members, though one or more dominant speakers might arise. Sentences are complete, and background information is supplied, rather than implied as it is in less formal situations. This context fits midway between Klein's small group communication context and his public communication context.

The fourth talk context and speech style is the formal one which corresponds to Klein's public communication context. A formal situation is created when a child is asked to give an oral presentation to his or her class. The formal style is characterized by complete sentences that reflect a logical development of thought and careful planning. Background information is provided by the speaker, and language is rehearsed rather than being impromptu. The speaker is the dominant or even sole user of language while the listeners' active participation is minimal.

A very important factor is that of subject matter. This factor is

difficult to represent as a continuum. Less formal speech styles such as the intimate and casual, and to varying extents the consultative, are characterized by shared experiences or common experiences, where most or all background information is already provided and therefore taken for granted. This makes the casual context, where three to five children interact, particularly appropriate for discussion of such experiences as individual response to literature, and for discussion of and follow-up to field trips.

The consultative and formal contexts are characterized by limited or no shared experience, and therefore much background information needs to be disseminated among group members or supplied to the group. These contexts then are especially appropriate for the sharing of information and content. The consultative context which allows for speaker-listener interchange throughout certainly works well in elementary school classrooms. Teacher-pupil and pupil-pupil interactions readily occur. In the formal situation the onus for learning rests almost solely with the listener, and there is minimal or no listener participation. The formal context is associated with the lecture approach.

Learning, of course, takes place in all four contexts, and learning to be a social being occurs in all contexts. Speakers learn to adapt their language to different contexts, and there is a definite relationship between talk contexts and speech styles. The style of speech adopted by a speaker can serve, in fact, to either include or exclude that person from a group.

Elementary school teachers can provide opportunities for their pupils to develop and use a repertoire of speech styles appropriate to different contexts in which children do, and will, become involved. By attention to factors such as group size, speaker-listener relationships and distancing, the type and nature of children's previous experiences, and the type of subject matter, teachers can create for their pupils 'real' contexts for appropriate communications.

REFERENCES

- Halliday, M.A.K. Language and Social Man. Schools Council Programme in Linguistics and English Training, Papers Series II, Volume 3. London: Longman, 1974.
- Halliday, M.A.K., McIntosh, A., and Stevens, P. The users and uses of language. In J.A. Fishman (Ed.), Readings in the Sociology of Language. The Hague: Mouton, 1972.
- Joos, M. The Five Clocks. New York: Harcourt, Brace & World, 1967.
- Klein, M. Designing a talk environment for the classroom. Language Arts, 56 (6), September, 1979, 647-656.
- Ure, J.N. Practical registers. English Language Teaching, 1969, 23, 107-114, 206-215.